

1	B		D		E		F		G		H		I		J		K	
	FY 2004 Budget Meeting Comments																	
3	Formal Commenter		Budget/Funding		Tanks		TPA/Regulators		Technology		Groundwater		ESH		Waste Importation		Other	
5	1). Raging Grannies				Don't grout. Cleanup reform account is equivalent to blackmail. Vitrify the waste. Empty high level waste tanks. Vitrify 75%, don't leave leaking active in waste tanks.		Uphold Tri-Party Agreement, meet legal deadlines.				Cleanup soil and groundwater.						Shut down the Fast Flux Test Facility, drain the coolant by 2003, use the savings for cleanup.	
7	2). Ruth Yarrow Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR) Seattle, Wash. 98105		The \$433M is a bargaining tool to get Washington State to accept mixed low level waste.		Reclassification of waste is unacceptable. There is a new wrinkle (the Plan) every year, and that just takes attention off the tanks.				The Plan doesn't talk about alternative treatments. We need to know what they are.				The Plan should address the effects on workers and those living downstream.				There should be public involvement in the C3T process.	
9	3). Sasha Sajovic Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105				No grout. Take all of the waste out of the tanks, vitrify it, and store it.		Stick to the Tri-Party Agreement.				Don't abandon groundwater.				Cease shipments. Deal with what's there.			
11	4). Magna Sindstrom Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105				The Plan states that all the waste will be vitrified by 2028, but it will actually be 2049. Grout ignores the problem, but reaches the 2028 date. No grout.		Stick to the Tri-Party Agreement re: high level waste. Regulators must hold DOE accountable to Tri-Party Agreement, protect the citizens of the Northwest.											
12	5). Tom Sicilia Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98105										Groundwater monitoring is inadequate.							
13	6). Charles Weems PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105						I'm glad that the Regulators are at the meeting. The DOE has a new directive: not quality, but speed, acceleration, and less money. Regulators need to keep our best interest at heart.				Is there anything in the Plan that indicates that the plumes already down to the Columbia River will be treated in 150 years?		I am concerned about the risk assessments. It is very difficult to use cancer as the only detriment we envision - fish, wildlife, etc. will also be harmed.					
14	7). William Withernup PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105												Stop pussy-footing around with cost/benefit analyses. Clean it up now.					
15	8). Heather St. John Seattle, Wash. 98103				Acceleration is okay, but leaving the waste where it is not. (This also applies to RL).		The \$433M is coming back to us at a cost. We will get it if we agree to change the Tri-Party Agreement. That's unacceptable.											
16	9). Martin Fleck PSR Seattle, Wash. 98105												The Tri-Party Agreement contains the minimum requirements to protect human health. Reclassifying it (grout) and using other Soviet style cleanup tactics won't protect human health.				The plan is an immense rip-off. It is a crime. We are compelled to object for our children and their children.	
17	10). Barbara Zepdea Seattle, Wash. 98102																Until you have some objective evaluation by an agency that isn't making money on the cleanup, Hanford won't get cleaned up. How do we compare in cleaning up Hanford to what other countries are doing? We spend \$400B on Defense, \$40B on covert terrorism, and \$40B on Homeland Security. We need to cleanup the real terror which is our waste.	
18	11). John Perreault Seattle, Wash. 98119		Spend the money to cleanup and protect the citizens. That is what I expect of my tax dollars.				The Regulators must watch out for us.						Keep the citizens safe and protect them from the contaminants. Don't go halfway. Don't let disaster happen.					
19	12). Fred Miller Peace and Justice Alliance Seattle, Wash. 98105																Get on with cleanup. Protect the whistleblower. I don't see any credibility. I am paying a lot of money for paper. Cleanup all the waste. Tritium is difficult problem. Cleanup D	

3	B	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
	Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
	13). Gerald Pollet Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98101 Same comments provided at Tri-Cities, Seattle, Portland and Hood River meetings	There is not enough money to pay for basic compliance with the Tri-Party Agreement and other applicable requiried work and to add all the comp proposals in this plan.	Are you too embarrassed by your plans to leave waste in high-level nuclear waste tanks to clearly state in your notice ads & mailing that is what you proposed? How much waste is left in Tank C-106? What risk assessment has been done on C-106's remaining nuclear wastes under different possible actions? For high level nuclear wastes, our highest priority is to retrieve the wastes from the tanks and to vitrify the waste: a). proposed schemes to not vitrify waste illegally relyupon efforts to say that waste does not require "permanenet isolation from the environment"; b). "grout" (aka: cement) has already been shown to result in greatly increased long-term grounwater contamination and total cancer risk; c). since 1996, we have said that planning to retrieve and vitrify only 10% of the waste by 2018 was woefully inadequate, and that the Tri-Party Agreement should require twice that amount by 2018. If US DOE focused on retrieving and vitrifying more waste, the risk would be lowered faster, and ultimate costs would be lower. Proposals to leave high-level nuclear waste in tanks forever (Don't relax/change Tri-Party Agreement deadlines. If these meetings are required under the Tri-Party Agreement, why weren't the notices jointly produced? Why were there no views from Ecology and EPA in the mailed notice?		Need to address groundwater remediation in plan.		Are you too afraid of public reaction to say in the notices that you propose to import large amounts of radioactive waste?	Shut down Fast Flux Test Facility. Drain sodium.
20									
21	14). Hue Beattie Bellingham, Wash. 98225	Accelerate, but with double the budget, accelerate the funding.	No grout. And do vitrification.						
22	15). Alisa Tripp Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98101						Safety of the public health and protection of the Columbia River should be of the utmost concern.		
23	16). Jennifer L. Moore Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98101		The Plan is a nightmare. Vitrify the waste, and get it away from the Columbia River.	Hold to the Tri-Party Agreement.					
24	17). Sylvia Haven Heart of America Northwest Seattle, Wash. 98125			I want the to Regulators to yell louder for my interests & the interests of the others in this state.					Why isn't the Hanford cleanup a national security issue? We ought to get lots of money to do the cleanup because it is a national security issue.
25	18). Jim Trombold, M. D. Washington PSR Mercer Island, Wash. 98010 Same comments provided at Hood River meeting, June 5	Accelerating cleanup to save money over the long-term is good, but you need more money up front. Double the money if you are going to keep the Tri-Party Agreement and maintain safety.					Hanford is an example of national insecurity, This ain't house dust - this is disaster prevention. This is public health for our children and grandchildren. This is a quiet - chronic disaster. You need to look at Hanford as a disaster ready to happen.		Put Hanford in same basket as Homeland Security.
26	19). Nancy Kroening Seattle, Wash. 98115	Why are the costs so high? Why isn't this job done yet?	Grout fails. It doesn't make any sense at all.	Hold DOE to the Tri-Party Agreement. It will be hard to do.	We will continue to oppose the use of nuclear technology.		Cleanup the River. The River is one of a kind. Bird life, fish, wildlife --- all are under stress.	Accept no more waste in Washington State.	Would like to see the Hanford Site opened up to the people for recreation. I would retire in the Tri-Cities if it wasn't for all the nuclear waste. Cleanup is imperative because of terrorism.

	B	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
3	Formal Commenter	Budget/Funding	Tanks	TPA/Regulators	Technology	Groundwater	ESH	Waste Importation	Other
58	43). Helen Sargent Spokane, Wash.		Grout unacceptable. Vitrify all of the waste.						
59	44). Daniel Hassler Seattle, Wash.		Don't propose short-term solutions, vitrify the waste.						
60	45). Jodi Jameson Seattle, Wash.								No short cuts, no compromises, clean it all up even if it does cost more tax money.
61	46). Barbara Pereira Portland, Oregon					Groundwater and the Columbia River are a mess.			Use the money to cleanup Hanford & shut it down. Shut down Fast Flux Test Facility. Drain sodium. Why do we have nuclear plants when the waste problem can't be solved?
62	47). Larry Boulanger Seattle, Wash.		No grout.	Stick to Tri-Party Agreement.					
63	48). Kurt Munnich Everett, Wash.		No grout.						No unlined trench burial.
64	49). Elizabeth Bell Seattle, Wash.					Eliminate groundwater contamination. Protect the Columbia River.			
65	50). seadoyles@attbi.com		Vitrify waste. No grout.	Stick to Tri-Party Agreement.		Keep groundwater safe.			
66	51). Holly Graham						Stop making Washington a waste burial ground for low level & mixed rad waste.		No unlined trench burial.
67	52). James McGrath, M. D. Charlotte McGrath, R. N.		Grout unacceptable.						Fund investigation of contamination around low-level burial grounds/stop using unlined trenches. Shut down Fast Flux Test Facility, no standby status.
68	53). Connie Travaille Bellevue, Wash.			Ask Department of Ecology to halt use of unlined trenches.				Don't make Washington a national low level mixed rad waste burial ground.	Stop using Hanford's unlined leaking LLW burial grounds. Fund investigation of contamination around burial grounds. Stop using cleanup funds to subsidize disposal of waste shipped to Hanford. Stop doubling waste buried at Hanford.
69	54). Eugene Hokanson Bellevue, Wash.	DOE needs to ask for the funds to cleanup Hanford ASAP.	Remove leaky tanks/hazardous waste & vitrify it.						
70	55). Peter Roth Seattle, Wash.						Stop importing waste & burying it in unlined trenches.		Fund investigation of LLW burial ground contamination.
71	57). Mark Wahl Langley, Wash.		Grout could lead to: explosions, easy escape into groundwater, unknown chemical reactions, further						
72	58). William Dixon Richland, wash.								Allow continuity of service for Hanford employees. That will allow employees to accept new challenges.
73	59). Penny Berglund		Vitrification is the best solution.				Not acceptable to make Hanford a nuclear sacrifice zone.		
74	60). Christi Bovee Vancouver, Wash.					Protect the river and the Northwest.	Stop importing more waste & polluting the environment.		
75	61). Barbara Conner Portland, Oregon					Protect the Columbia River & the groundwater.			Cleanup 300 Area to unrestricted use, makes long term economic sense.
76	62). Barbara Lafrate		Don't leave high level waste in ground stored on Central Plateau. Alternative solution presented.						
77	63). Leslie Davenport Richland, Wash.	Obtain adequate funding through FY 08 for acceleration.	Highest priorities: tank waste treatment.	Tank closure methods must abide by laws/regulations.		Decisions regarding groundwater must be made quickly.	Tank closure methods must protect the workers/public.		Highest priorities: tank waste treatment; SNF removal/transfer, PU stabilization & safe storage. Consider trade offs carefully. Characterize pre-1970 waste (TRU). End states need to be defined. 300 Area fence can be moved in, but fence off 325, 326, 329 bldgs. Characterize 618-10/11 burial grounds. Continue/speed up waste management programs.
78	64). B Hawkins bhawkins53@attbi.com		I support thorough cleanup that is safe for the environment. No quick fix. Pouring cement into tanks is no long range solution.	Tank closure methods must abide by laws/regs. & protect the workers/public.					
79	65). Linda Johns Tigard, Oregon						Don't increase the low level waste dumping at Hanford. Do not add to the groundwater problem that already exists.		
80	66). Sahabra Tipton		Don't pour concrete into tanks. Concrete is porous. Look at our crumbling highways.				Don't destroy our clean air, pure water, and fertile land. Highest priorities: tank waste treatment.	Plead against allowing Washington to take in nuclear waste from other states.	